Application-Aware Traffic Scheduling for Workload Offloading in Mobile Clouds

Liang Tong, Wei Gao

University of Tennessee – Knoxville



Cloud Computing for mobile devices

 Contradiction between limited battery and complex mobile applications



- Mobile Cloud Computing (MCC)
 - Offloading local computations to remote execution via wireless communication



Cloud Computing for mobile devices

Wireless communication is expensive!



Partitioning workloads at the method level



B







Cost of wireless transmission

- Energy consumption during wireless transmission
 - Energy model of the UMTS cellular radio interface



A large portion of wireless energy consumption happens during tail times!



Existing solution

Deferral and bundling



- The tail time phenomenon can be alleviated
- Good enough? No!





Ignorance of mobile app characteristics



Application performance could be seriously degraded!



Our solution

- Key idea:
 - Adaptively balancing the energy/delay tradeoff
 - Taking both causality and run-time dynamics of application method executions into account
- Solutions Causality
 Offline scheduling Heuristic scheduling Run-time dynamics
 Optimal scheduling



System model

- Multiple applications are running concurrently. For application *i*:
 - Delay constraint: D_i
 - * Execution path: $\{M_1^i, M_2^i, ..., M_{n_i}^i\}$.
 - Offloading decisions: existing work



Challenge

• How to eliminate transmission overlaps?



- Additional delay to eliminate overlaps. But how long?



Offline transmission scheduling

Problem formulation

 $\max \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} R_j(d_j) \quad \text{Total number of bundling}$ s.t. $d_j \leq d_{j+1}$, transmission causality $I_j(d_j) = 0$, overlap elimination $0 \leq d_k \leq D_i$, $(k \leq n_i)$, delay constraint $d_j \in \mathbb{N}$

- Solution space: $D_i^{n_i}$. Exponential time for exhaustive search!
 - How to find optimal solution with a low complexity?



Optimal transmission scheduling (OTS)

- Basic idea
 - Solve problems by combining the solutions to subproblems



- * Dynamic Programming
- BUNDLE(j, k): subproblem with j transmissions and delay constraint k
- Guarantee of optimal solution
 - BUNDLE(n_i , D_i) has an optimal substructure
 - What are the optimal solutions for subproblems?



Optimal transmission scheduling (OTS)

- **Optimal solutions of subproblems**
 - $dp[j][k](k \le D)$: the maximum number of bundles for a subproblem of $\{T_1, T_2 \dots, T_i\}$ when T_i is delayed for k



Improvement of Computational Efficiency

- **Problem**: large computational overhead of OTS
 - Time complexity of OTS: $O(n_i D_i^2)$
 - *D_i* could be very large
- Solution: 2-stage transmission scheduling
 - Eliminating transmission overlaps heuristically

Stage 1: Posterior overlap elimination
Stage 2: Prior overlap avoidance



Improvement of Computational Efficiency

- Posterior overlap elimination
 - Iteratively looking for the maximally allowed transmission delay within the application delay constraint
 - Eliminating the transmission overlap due to such delay in a posterior manner





Improvement of Computational Efficiency

- Prior overlap avoidance
 - Iteratively looking for the minimum transmission delay
 - Ensure that all possible overlaps could be avoided in a prior manner





Online transmission scheduling

- Prediction of application execution path
 - Formulating method transitions as an order-k semi Markov model
 - <u>Semi-markov</u>: arbitrary sojourn times between method transitions
 - * <u>Order-k</u>: precise prediction of method 2 Invocation (k-step interdependency)



Method execution times

- Incorporation of run-time dynamics
 - * Predicting the number of future method invocations
 - Predicting the execution time of method to be invoked in the future



Online transmission scheduling

- Probabilistic transmission scheduling
 - A probabilistic framework to adaptively schedule each transmission
 - Probabilistically estimate the cumulative transmission delay
 Predicted number

of future execution

Predicted execution time



Cumulative deferral Cumulative Execution time



Performance evaluations

- Comparisons
 - <u>Bundle transmission</u>: performance requirements and delay constraint are not considered.
 - <u>Fast dormancy</u>: the mobile device switches to IDLE quickly after data transmission
 - <u>*RSG*</u>: run-time causality and dynamics are ignored.
- Evaluation metrics
 - Application completion ratio
 - Amount of energy saved
 - Computational overhead
 - The percentage of the energy consumption of application executions



Evaluation setup

- Evaluation against open-source Android apps
 - Firefox, Chess-Walk, Barcode Scanner.
 - Implementing the transmission scheduling approach into app codes
- Offloading operations
 - Adopt MAUI for workload offloading decisions
 - Adopt *CloneCloud* to maintain a clone VM at the cloud server for each app
- Experiments
 - 100 times with different input data for statistical convergence



Effectiveness of offline scheduling

- The optimal scheduling (baseline < 2.0) and 2stage scheduling (baseline ≥ 2.0) are used
 - Baseline: completion time of local application executions



(%) person be up to the terms of te

(b) Amount of energy saved



<u>25%</u> more completion ratio

40% more energy saved

Less than <u>4%</u> overhead



Effectiveness of online scheduling

- An order-3 semi-Markov model is used
 - Online transmission algorithm performs better
 - Higher overhead is incurred





Summary

- Mobile Cloud Computing is critical to
 - Augment mobile devices' local capabilities
 - Energy saving
- MCC offloading energy efficiency is determined by wireless transmission scheduling
- Insight: exploiting run-time causality and dynamics is the key
 - Offline algorithm with causality being considered
 - Online algorithm incorporating with run-time dynamics



Thank you!

• Questions?

 The paper and slides are also available at: <u>http://web.eecs.utk.edu/~weigao/</u>

